

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 2274 of 1985

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE B.C.PATEL Sd/-

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

M B PATEL

Versus

GUJARAT DAIRY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LTD

Appearance:

MR PM THAKKAR for Petitioner

MR KM PATEL for Respondent No. 1

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE B.C.PATEL

Date of decision: 18/10/96

ORAL JUDGEMENT

It is a sorry state of affair that though this matter and other matters are notified on the provisional Board on 16th October 1996 and re-notified today for final hearing before this Court, learned Advocate has not cared to remain present inspite of the fact that Chobdar and Peon have informed the Advocates and/or their Clerks that the matters are being called out.

In the first session, learned advocate did not remain present and even in the second session, he has not chosen to remain present. Therefore, this Court has no other alternative but to dismiss this matter for non-prosecution. It may not be out of place to mention that this matter was once dismissed on 8.8.85 for non-prosecution but was later on restored on an appropriate motion by the learned Advocate.

This matter stands dismissed for non-prosecution.
Rule discharged.

csm./